G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907
(Madras)

File No. 314

Serial Nos. 1–9

Papers Filed.

Month August 1908.

SUBJECT.

Sale of doors, stone Pillars &c belonging to a temple at Seetakal Tumkur Taluk-

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	Mans 1-219-08

dated receipt 219-08.

Muz. 8]

1907

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

OFFICE NOTE.

File No. 314 -07

SUBJECT.

Sale of doors of the temple at Seetakal Tumkur Taluk.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur

Letter No. 59 dated 3 August 1908.

A Telegram and certain petitions were received in this office from one Kalasetty of Seetakal complaining that valuable doors of the temple at Seetakal in the Tumkur Taluk were sold in a public auction for a ~~too~~ small sum. The Deputy Commissioner was requested to report upon the ~~complaint~~ allegation & he now reports that on the 25th one Ponnanya of Seetakal

File No. of Muzrai Secretariat.

presented a Darkhast before the Amildar of the Sunkeri Taluk requesting that the stone pillars & stones belonging to a door frame of an old village gate together with the vacant site on which they stood may be given over to him and the Amildar inspected the ~~place~~ spot on 13th August 1907 and finding that the stones &c mentioned in the Darkhast were that of a door frame of a temple (mahadwara) and that they were standing in such a condition as to apprehend danger to passers by issued a sale notification to be duly published in the village & the Shekdar conducted the sale of the stones & of the vacant site on 24th September 1907 & knocked it down to the Dharkast-dar for Rs 6/- the highest bid. The Patil & the villagers having agreed to their sale the Amildar confirmed the sale on the 14th October 1907.

GPB—1,000—11-6-06

Muz. 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat	File No. of 190

Meanwhile on the 3rd October 1907 Kalasetti appeared before the Amildar and presented a petition stating that stone pillars &c that constituted the principal entrance or Mahadwara of the Sri Gopalakrishnaswamy temple were sold by the Shekdar secretly to the Darkhastdar for a low price of Rs 6/- making it appear that they belonged to an old village gate and that on a previous occasion one Muddu Gowda's Darkhast for the same stones &c was rejected by the then Amildar Mr Mahomed Raza on the ground that a large number of devotees were willing to restore the temple. The Amildar having called for the connected

from the Shekdar and also ordered, at the request of Kalasetti, that the purchaser should not be allowed to meddle with the stones &c. until further orders.

The Petnr Kalasetti also presented petitions to the Deputy Comnr ~~as to the Offrs~~ praying that the Sale of Stones &c. Confirmed by the Amildar may be cancelled and that his bid in a second Sale if ordered may be taken to be Rs 60/-. The Deputy Comnr states that some of these petitions were forwarded to the Sub Divisional Offrs for report and that Offrs was of opinion that the Sale was conducted regularly, that the stone pillars &c. were not those of a temple door frame as alleged by

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

Muzrai Secretariat | File No. of 190

the petitioner (Kalasetti), that the vacant site in question is situated by the side of the purchaser's house and that the present Jattrar was due to ill feelings between the two. The Senior Assistant Commr Mr C. Venkat Roy in charge of the Dt thereupon rejected the petition of Kalasetti.

The said Kalasetti presented a petition to the Revenue Commr for the cancellation of the sale and also wired to the Muzrai Secretary these were having been referred to the Dy. Commr & + in his turn to the Sub. Dr Officer. The Sub-Dr Officer Mr K. Sankaranjan States that upon the facts reported by the Amildar on a former occasion the acceptance of the order of Confirmation was recommended

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

but upon a personal inspection of the Spot and from the perusal of the records it is clearly established that the stones &c belonged to a madadwara of a temple.

The Dy: Commr: therefore requests that the order passed by the acting Dy: Commr Mr C. Venkat Row may be cancelled as the gate undoubtedly belonged to the temple

Submd with a draft order cancelling the sale Putup draft

15/1/08

CBJ 17/8/08

Dft Submd 15/1/08

CBJ 18/8/08

Submd to Govt

CBJ 21/8/08

Approved

RPR 22/8/08

Issue CBJ 22/8/08

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

Muz. 8 A]

Muzrai Secretariat | File No. of 190.

Note continued.

Orders were accordingly issued in Govt Eno. no. 933 dt 29th August 1908 directing the cancellation of the sale of stones etc. belonging to a temple at Settikal and the substance thereof was communicated to Kalasetty & others of Seettikal.

Kalasetty has again petitioned Govt on the subject. He does not seem to be in receipt of the above endorsement.

Submitted with another draft endorsement in terms of the Govt order

Asst

25/10/13

Jt

File No. of 190 | Muzrai Secretariat

GPB—3,000—25-9-07

Code Class P

INDIAN TELEGRAPHS.

Monthly Number. 5607

To (Office) Bangalore

From (Office) Tumkur SI

Words.	Day	Hour.	Minute.	Official Instructions.
40	29	13	15	Ordy

To (Person) Muzrai secretary

From (Person) Secretal Tumkur

Rect 2-20 pm

What is the about the 29/1/08

Legal copy of the telegram received by the Assistant Collector at Tumkur on the 30/1/08 Today

84 Word Form.

Need inland telegram from the Secretary Tumkur to the Muzrai Secretary Bangalore dated 29th instant and received in office on the same day regarding the inspection by the Assistant Collector Chikballapur and transferred Hobli accounts of Kasaba Hobli of Gauribidanur Taluk for further extension

Rcvd. from LN. BANGALORE CITY 29-1-08 Sent to LN.

At Hrs. Mts. by At Hrs. Mts. by Telegraph Master.

N B.—In foreign telegrams the Person from if telegraphed, should be written after but separated from the text. This form must accompany [illegible]

O G P.- No 1197 T- 29 11 1906- 7916000

Muz. 1]

From Tahsildar 190

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Dated Tumkur District.

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Has the honour to forward herewith a Copy of the telegram dated 29th instant from Kalasetty Complaining of the Sale of doors of the temple at Seetakal in the Tumkur Taluk and to request the early submission of a detailed report on the allegation together with the records

if any, Connected therewith

By Order,

30/1/08

Secretary to Govt

Municipal Department

W 30/1/08

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3307
KRISHI SECRETARIAT

AY

By Regd Post

నా పేరు ఆంజనేయులు. మాది మంచిర్యాల జిల్లా.

ఈ నా వాంగ్మూలాన్ని ఆధారంగా తీసుకుని నా మీద ఫిర్యాదు చేసిన

వ్యక్తి పేరు మీద ఉన్న ఆస్తిని ఎలాగైనా తన పేరు మీదకు రాయించుకోవాలని

ఈ ఫిర్యాదు చేయించాడు. ఆ వ్యక్తి నా మీద ఫిర్యాదు చేసిన తరువాత

నా మీద ఎంక్వైరీ జరిపిన పోలీసు అధికారి నా వాంగ్మూలాన్ని నమోదు

చేసుకున్నారు. ఆ వాంగ్మూలంలో నేను చెప్పిన విషయాలు ఇవి.

నా పేరు ఆంజనేయులు. మాది మంచిర్యాల జిల్లా. నేను ఎస్.సి. మాదిగ

కులానికి చెందిన వాడిని. నా భార్య పేరు లక్ష్మి. మాకు ఇద్దరు పిల్లలు.

పెద్ద వాడు రాజు. వాడి వయస్సు 15 సంవత్సరాలు. చిన్న వాడు రవి.

వాడి వయస్సు 12 సంవత్సరాలు. మేము ఇద్దరం కలిసి మా ఊరిలో

ఒక ఇల్లు కట్టుకుని అందులో నివసిస్తున్నాము. మా ఇంటి పక్కనే

మా బంధువు అయిన రాములు అనే వ్యక్తి కూడా ఇల్లు కట్టుకుని

నివసిస్తున్నాడు. రాములు మా ఇంటి పక్కన ఉన్న ఖాళీ స్థలాన్ని

తన పేరు మీదకు రాయించుకోవాలని చాలా రోజుల నుండి ప్రయత్నిస్తున్నాడు.

ఆ స్థలం మా తాతల కాలం నుండి మా ఆధీనంలోనే ఉంది. ఆ స్థలానికి

సంబంధించిన పట్టా కూడా మా దగ్గరే ఉంది. రాములు ఆ స్థలాన్ని

తన పేరు మీదకు రాయించుకోవడానికి ఎన్నో ప్రయత్నాలు చేసాడు.

కానీ మేము ఒప్పుకోలేదు. ఆ స్థలం మా తాతల కాలం నుండి

మా ఆధీనంలో ఉంది. ఆ స్థలానికి సంబంధించిన పట్టా కూడా

మా దగ్గరే ఉంది. ఆ స్థలాన్ని మేము ఎవరికీ అమ్మాలనుకోవడం

లేదు. ఆ స్థలం మా పిల్లలకు చెందాలని మా ఉద్దేశం. ఈ విషయం

రాములుకు తెలుసు. అయినా కూడా అతడు ఆ స్థలాన్ని తన పేరు

మీదకు రాయించుకోవాలని ప్రయత్నిస్తూనే ఉన్నాడు. ఆ ప్రయత్నాలలో

భాగంగానే ఈ ఫిర్యాదు చేయించాడు. ఈ ఫిర్యాదులో నేను

చెప్పిన విషయాలు అన్నీ అబద్ధాలు. నా మీద ఫిర్యాదు చేసిన

వ్యక్తి పేరు మీద ఉన్న ఆస్తిని ఎలాగైనా తన పేరు మీదకు

రాయించుకోవాలని ఈ ఫిర్యాదు చేయించాడు. ఆ వ్యక్తి నా మీద

ఫిర్యాదు చేసిన తరువాత నా మీద ఎంక్వైరీ జరిపిన పోలీసు

అధికారి నా వాంగ్మూలాన్ని నమోదు చేసుకున్నారు. ఆ వాంగ్మూలంలో

Muz. 1]

6/3

190

From

To

The Dy Commr

Tumkur Distt

Dated

Despatched 18.3.08

Received

General No. 2028

No.

Enclosure No.

Referring to this office docket no 3485 Dy 30-1-08 calling for a report on the complaint petition of Kala Setty regarding the sale of the doors of the Seetakal temple, has the honr D forwards herewith another petition regd. no 3307 dated 15-2-1908 from the same individual and to request that the submission of the

C P L—3,000- 19-9-07

Report may kindly be expedited



MYSORE GOVERNMENT
3857
24/3/08
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

S14

190

From
The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur Dist

To
The Secretary to Government
Muzrai Department

Dated 23rd March 1908
Despatched 25
Received
General No. 4695
No.

Enclosure No. one

Reporting on the petitions of Kalasetty of Sutakal Tumkur Taluk, re Sale of Doors of a temple

Reply
Issued
27/3/08

Off submd
26/3/08

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
Dated the 21st March 1905

From

V. Doraswami Iyer Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To.

L. Anantasami Rao Esq B.A
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of
Mysore — Muzrai De-
partment —

Sir,

Referring to your docket no 3485, dated 30th January 1905, forwarding for report a copy of a telegram dated the 29th idem, from Kalasetti complaining of the sale of doors of a temple at Seetakal in the Tumkur Taluk, I have the honour to state that the doors referred to were of a deserted and dilapidated temple in the said village which is no longer a Muzrai Institution as there is no idol in it and as the "Pasdik" was discontinued many years ago. It was reported that the gate referred to stood in such a condition as to apprehend danger to passers-by and that

they belonged to fort-gate and were sold as such. The Sub Divisional assistant Commissioner, Tamlur, has been called upon for a report and the matter of the petition will be disposed of in due course.

2. The Sub Divisional Officer's yadi no 572, dated 12th march 1908, is herewith forwarded for reference.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

K Archibald
In default Commissioner

DMR

496

12-3-1908 512

18-3-08

333

31/1/08

3485

30.1.08

12.3.08

Muz. 1]

S.C.S

190

From

To The Deputy Comr.
Tumkur District

Dated

Despatched 31/3/08

Received

General No. 4518

No.

Enclosure No.

His no 495 dy 21st March 1908 reporting on the petn of Kalasetty of Seethakal, Tumkur Taluk re the sale of doors of a temple at the place

---

In reference to the above, has the honor to request that the petition of Kalasetti (no 3307) forwarded with this office no 4048 dy 18th March 1908 may be returned to this office at an early date

R&O

31/3/08

G P b—3,000—19-9-07

Secretary

Sl 6

190



From The Deputy Commissioner
Trans Kuru Distt

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Dated 16th May 1908

Despatched

Received

General No. 615

No.

Enclosure No. one

Refer
AG

Please continue note
Cons
WL 18/5/08

Forwarding the
petition of Kalasethi of
Sitahal re Sale of temple
Doors

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
Dated the 16th May 1908

From K. Chandy Esq B.A.
Acting Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To. L. Anantasami Rao Esq B.A.
Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department

Sir, Referring to your docket no 4318 of
31st March 1908, I have the honour to
return herewith the petition of Kalasetti
(no 3307) received with your docket
no 4048, dated 18th March 1908.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
M. Srinivasachar
for of Deputy Commissioner

ZRD

Muz. 1]

517

190

27.5

From

To

The Dy Commr
Tinnevelly

Dated

Despatched 29.5.08

Received

General No. 5362

No.

Enclosure No.

Adverting to his letter no 615 Dy 11th may 1908 submitting the petition of Kalaseetti of Sitankal regarding the sale of temple doors, has the honor to invite ~~reference~~ attention to the office docket no 4966 dated 12th may 1908 and

G P b—3 000- 19-9-07

to request to be

informed as to how

the matter has been

disposed of. Memorandum

of conversation requested

By order

Dfd 28/5/08

Secretary

28/5/08

51

190



From The Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur Distt

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department

Dated 3d August 1908

Despatched 7

Received

General No. 59
No.

Enclosure No. One file

Reporting that orders confirming the sale of tenements by the acting Dy. Commr. doors at Sitakal may be ordered to be cancelled under the circumstances represented therein

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District
Dated the 3d August 1908

From

K. Doraswami Iyer Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To.

K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq BA, BL
Secretary to Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Revenue Department

Sir,

With reference to your docket no 4966, dated 12th May 1908, in re the Sale of doors of a temple at Sitakal, Tumkur Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows:—

1 On 25th March 1907, one Pommaiya of Sitakal presented a darkhast before the Amildar of the Tumkur Taluk stating that the stone pillars and stones belonging to a door frame of old village gate together with the vacant site on which they stood may be given over to him. The Amildar referred the darkhast to the Shekdar of the Kolak Hobli for a detailed report and the Shekdar reported that the stones to mentioned in the darkhast were that of a door

frame of a temple (Mahadwara) and that they were standing in such a condition as to apprehend danger to passers by and that they may be sold in a public auction The Amildar after the inspection of the spot on 13th August 1907 issued a sale notification to be duly published in the village and the Shekdar conducted the sale of the stones and of the vacant site on 24th September 1907 and knocked it down to the Darkhastdar for Rs 61- which was the highest bid. On that day, the villagers of Sitabal together with the Patel drew up a Mahazar before the Shekdar to the effect that the site as well as the stones de thereon were Sirkari and that their estimated value was Rs 5/- and that they might be given away to Darkhastdar On receipt of the sale records from the Shekda the Amildar confirmed the sale in his order no 48, dated 14th October 1907 to the Shekda

2. On 3d October 1907, one Kalasetti of Sitabal appeared before the Amildar and presented a petition stating that stone pillars de that constituted the principal entrance or Mahadwara of the Sri Gopala Krishnaswamy's temples were sold by the Shekdar secretly to the Darkhastdar

for a low price of Rs 6/- making it appear in the records as if they belonged to an old village site and that on a former occasion one Kudde Gowda's Darkhast for the same stones he was rejected by the then Amildar Mr. Mohamed Raza on the ground that a large number of devotees were willing to restore the temple and that therefore they ought not to be sold: The Amildar referred the petition to the Shekdar calling for connected records. On 30th October 1907, the said Kalasetti again presented a petition to the Amildar that the Darkhastdar was using the stones for putting foundation stone at the instigation of Patel and Shanbogue who also had joined the acting Shekdar in selling them to the Darkhastdar and that orders should be issued that the purchaser should not meddle with the stones &c. Upon this, the Amildar issued an order to the Shekdar not to allow the purchaser to meddle with stones &c until further orders.

3. The said Kalasetti presented certain petitions to this office praying that the sale of stones be confirmed by the Amildar may be cancelled and that his bid in a second sale if ordered may be taken to be Rs 60/- Some of these petitions were sent to the Sub Divisional Office, who reported in his Yadi No 363, dated 2nd January 1908,

that the sale of stone pillars & of the village sale, was conducted regularly and the Amildar confirmed it, that during the Jamabandy, it was ascertained that there was nothing irregular in the conduct of the sale, that the stone pillars & were not those of a temple door frame as alleged by the petitioner (Kalasetti), that the sale was held in public near the village chavadi and that for these reasons the petition from Kalasetti should be rejected. On a perusal of this yadi, Mr. C. Venkata Rao, Sub Divisional Assistant Commissioner then in charge of the district rejected the Jakras petition stating that as it was clear from the above yadi that the sale was held in public there was no reason for ordering a resale as prayed for by the Jakradars and that it was ascertained that the vacant site in question is situated by the side of the purchaser's house and that the present Jakras was due till and that the present feelings between the two.

4. The said Kalasetti presented a petition to the Revenue Commissioner for the cancellation of the sale and also wired to the Huzur Secretary on the matters which were referred to this Office for disposal - The Sub Divisional Officer

Mr. K. Srikantaiya in his yadi no 363 dated 2nd January 1908, states that upon the facts reported by the Amildar on a former occasion, the acceptance of the order confirmation of the sale passed by the Amildar was recommended. But upon a personal inspection of the spot and from the perusal of the report no 1533, dated 6th June 1908, from the Amildar, it is clearly established that the stones to belonged to a mahadwara of a temple.

5. Under the above circumstances, I request that the orders passed by the acting Deputy Commissioner may be cancelled as the gate undoubtedly belonged to a temple.

6. The Vernacular records as per Peristk ending with the Sankeri Subdivisional Officer's yadi no 747, dated 19th June 1908, are herewith submitted for further reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner

Encl

Murray 819

Read:— Petition Regd no 3307 dated 15 February 1908, from Kalasetty of Seetakal complaining that the Valuable doors of a temple at Seetakal in the Tumkur Taluk were sold by public auction for a small sum

Letter no 59 dated 3 August 1908, from the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Dt. reporting on the above

No. 933 & 1908 of Case file

Order thereon—No.

The ~~Deputy~~ Comr

From the records it is observed that certain stone pillars in our v.l.

in a public auction for
a small sum of Rs 6/
under the impression
~~on the misapprehension~~
that they belonged to an
old village gate, and that
on further enquiry it is
found that ~~the stone~~ they
the Mukadwara of
belonged to a temple that
existed before in the Seetahal village.
The Dy Commr ~~xxx~~ therefore
requests that the order of the ~~them~~ Asst Comr, Theru
~~acting Deputy Commr~~ in charge of the Sub-
~~Inam Venkatarow~~ Confirming
the sale, & the Stones dug
the sale may be cancelled,

Mr C. Venkata Rao

2. The Govt agree
with the Deputy Commr
and direct that the ~~the~~
sale of the Stones &c &
the Vacant site in question
be cancelled.

14/11/08
27/8/08

CB
27/8/08
for Secretary
to 27/8/08

To
The Dy. Commr, Tumkur Dt
returning the records.
Encl 6 Pets

No. 1119/B1/10.9.08

To

The Secretary

Sir,

I am submitting my application for the post of Secretary.

I am a graduate in Commerce and have completed my Diploma in Computer Application.

I have worked as Secretary in a Co-operative Society for 3 years. I am familiar with typing, maintaining records and handling correspondence.

I am confident that my qualifications and experience will be useful for the post. I request you to consider my application.

Thanking you,

Yours faithfully,

[illegible]

10/9/08

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
1256
22.9.08
SECRETARIAT

By order

Asst Secretary